

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,852	07/16/2003	Yanjun Ma	SLA0815 (SMT324D)	1808	
75	90 11/30/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
David C. Ripma			DIAZ, J	DIAZ, JOSE R	
Patent Counsel					
Sharp Laboratories of America, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5750 N.W. Pacific Rim Boulevard			2815		
Camas, WA 9	8607		DATE MAILED: 11/30/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ar.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/621,852	MA ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	José R. Díaz	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dishave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate exemples. The appropriate exemples in a control of the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	• • •	•	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		lom	vomos
	-	PARADUT MAN	

TOM THOMAS
SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/621,852

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation regarding the ion concentration of the LDD regions, as presented in the amendment, sets forth subject matter which was not considered in the finally rejected claim and hence presents new issues which require further consideration and/or search.